



Cohort Analysis Model: Underpinning Theories and Applications for Planning and Tracking Education Progress in Nigeria

Olaniyi, O. S. and Alake, M. A.

Department of Educational Management, Tai Solarin Federal University of Education, Ijagun
Corresponding email: olaniyios@tasued.edu.ng

Abstract

The cohort analysis model is a robust framework that enables longitudinal tracking of individuals or groups who share common characteristics, such as birth year or enrolment period, over time. This model is particularly relevant for educational planning in Nigeria, where challenges such as population growth, regional disparities, and resource constraints require strategic interventions. Cohort analysis provides insights into enrolment trends, progression rates, dropout patterns, and the impact of policies, facilitating evidence-based decision-making. This paper explores the theoretical underpinnings of the cohort analysis model, including demographic transition theory, human capital theory, and life course theory, and their application in addressing Nigeria's unique educational challenges. Key strengths of the model include its ability to provide data-driven insights, monitor educational attainment, and evaluate policy impacts. However, implementation in Nigeria faces significant challenges, including inadequate data infrastructure, insufficient funding, technical expertise gaps, and socio-political instability. Recommendations for effective implementation include strengthening data management systems, increasing funding for research and planning, building technical capacity, promoting regional equity, and leveraging technology for analysis and visualisation. By adopting the cohort analysis model, Nigeria can design equitable and sustainable educational strategies that address current challenges and anticipate future needs. This approach is critical for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and fostering long-term human capital development in the country.

Keywords: Cohort analysis, Educational planning, Demographic transition, Human capital, Data-driven decision-making, Enrolment trends.

1. Introduction

Education is a cornerstone for socioeconomic development and human capital formation, especially in developing countries like Nigeria. The country faces significant challenges in its educational sector, including low enrolment rates, high dropout rates, and disparities in access to education across geographic and socioeconomic lines (World Bank, 2021). Despite efforts such as the Universal Basic Education (UBE) programme introduced in 1999, which aimed to provide free and compulsory education for the first nine years of schooling, progress has been uneven (United Nations Children's Fund - UNICEF, 2022).

The concept of education progress refers to the idea that education should be a continuous and ongoing process, where individuals advance through various stages of learning, achieving specific goals and outcomes. The key aspects of education progress include learning pathways, competency-based progression, continuous assessment, flexibility and autonomy, and focus on depth over breadth. The

benefits that education progress brings include improved student outcomes, increased efficiency, better teacher-student relationships, preparation for lifelong learning, among others (Rose & McAlister, 2016).

The nexus between education progress and educational planning are in the area of data-driven planning, continuous improvement, as well as student-centred approach. By integrating educational planning and education progress, it would bring about improved student outcomes, efficient resource allocation, and increased accountability. Some measures of education progress involve academic achievement measures (such as standardised test scores, grade point average, and course completion rates), learning outcomes measures (such as competency assessments, project-based assessments, and portfolio assessments), progress monitoring measures (such as formative assessments, summative assessments, and benchmark assessments), non-academic measures (include attendance rates, dropout rates, and student engagement), and long-term measures (such as graduation rates, college and career readiness, and long-term employment and earnings (Black & William, 1998; National Centre for Education Statistics, 2019; Competency-Based Education Networks, 2019). One of the major constraints to effective educational planning in Nigeria is the lack of

Olaniyi, O. S. and Alake, M. A., (2025). Cohort Analysis Model: Underpinning Theories and Applications for Planning and Tracking Education Progress in Nigeria. *Journal of Specialised and Professional Education (JOSPED)*, SP: Nov, 2025, pp. 1-6.

©COSPED, Special Edition, Nov 2025

reliable data on student enrolment, retention, and progression (United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organisation- UNESCO, 2022). This hampers the ability of policymakers to identify trends and design targeted interventions to address issues such as dropout rates and transition gaps between educational levels and among cohorts. Cohort analysis is a method used to track specific group of students as they progress through the education system. It offers a data-driven approach to understanding and addressing these challenges.

Cohort is a unit or a group having a common feature or experience, such as students starting a programme together. Such grouping is often used in educational and research context (Menaghan & Parcel, 1991). Some common types of cohorts include birth cohort, longitudinal cohort, and exposure cohorts (Checkoway et al., 2004). Cohort analysis provides critical insights into patterns of student flow, including enrolment trends, retention rates, and transition rates. It enables educational planners to identify bottlenecks in the system and design interventions tailored to specific points of vulnerability. In the context of Nigeria, where systemic inefficiencies and inequities are pronounced, this method could serve as a valuable tool for aligning educational planning with national development goals, including the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals 4 (SDG 4), which emphasises inclusive and equitable quality education (UNESCO, 2022).

A cohort analysis model for effective educational planning in Nigeria aims to track and analyze different groups of students over time, focusing on their educational outcomes, resources, and overall development. By understanding these dynamics, Nigeria can create more targeted and responsive educational policies to achieve the sustainable development goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 4, which focuses on ensuring inclusive, equitable, and quality education for all (UNESCO, 2020). This goal itself is about education progress. The application of cohort analysis in Nigeria has the potential of addressing persistent challenges such as:

a. Regional disparities: Enrolment and retention rates are significantly lower in the northern regions compared to the south due to factors such as poverty, cultural norms, and insecurity brought about by the bandits (World Bank, 2021).

b. Gender inequality: Girls face higher drop-out rates than boys, particularly in rural areas, due to early marriage, household responsibilities, and lack of sanitary facilities in schools (UNICEF, 2022)

c. Resource Allocation: Poorly targeted resource distribution often results in overcrowded classrooms and inadequate teaching materials, which exacerbate retention and transition issues (UNESCO, 2022).

Addressing these challenges requires a shift from

generalised policy prescriptions to data-driven decision making. By applying cohort analysis, Nigeria can adopt a more practicable and evidence-based approach to educational planning, enabling better resource allocation policy formulation, and the implementation of targeted interventions. By and large, cohort analysis tracks the progress and performance of groups of students over time. This helps educators identify trends, measure the effectiveness of educational interventions, and make data-driven decisions to improve learning outcomes.

2. Key Components of the Cohort Analysis Model

The key components of this model include defining cohort, data collection, segmentation of key variables, and so on as follows:

a. Defining Cohorts: Cohorts can be defined based on different demographic variables such as age, gender, geographical region (rural/urban), or socioeconomic background. Tracking these cohorts allows for deeper insights into how different groups experience education (Ogunyemi & Ojo, 2017).

b. Data Collection: Data should be gathered from a wide range of sources: student performance records, demographic data, school infrastructure, teacher qualifications, funding levels, and dropout rates. This data must be longitudinal, tracking the same cohort over multiple years to measure changes in educational outcomes (World Bank, 2018).

c. Segmentation of Key Variables: By analysing the cohorts through specific lenses (for instance, gender, region, socio-economic status), planners can identify inequalities and areas requiring intervention. This segmentation helps ensure that resources are allocated where they are needed most (Aluede & Adeleke, 2019).

d. Policy and Intervention Design: Based on cohort analysis, policies can be formulated to address gaps in educational access and quality. For example, if data shows that rural areas have higher dropout rates, policies could focus on improving infrastructure, providing financial support, and ensuring access to educational resources (UNICEF, 2022). Interventions could also include targeted scholarships for underprivileged students, additional support for girls in education, or vocational training programmes to align education with market needs (Ogunniyi & Alabi, 2014).

e. Sustainable and Long-Term Goals: To align educational outcomes with SDG 4, interventions need to be sustainable. This requires a multi-faceted approach involving government, international organisations, private stakeholders, communities (UN, 2015). Cohort analysis helps ensure that interventions are adjusted over time, tracking the progress of reforms and making changes where necessary.

3. Theoretical Perspectives Underpinning the Cohort Analysis Model and their Relevance

a. Demographic Transition Theory (DTT): This theory was first proposed by Warren Thompson in 1929, but it was further developed and popularised by several demographers and economists, including Frank Notestein (1945); Kingsley Davis (1945); Bogue (1969) and Weeks (2012). They provided that the stages of demographic transition include:

- I. Stage 1: High birth and death rates
- II. Stage 2: High birth rates, declining death rates
- III. Stage 3: Declining birth rates, low death rates
- IV. Stage 4: Low birth and death rates (United Nations, 2019)

The theory explains shift in population growth and structure over time, moving from high birth and death rates to low birth and death rates as societies develop (Week, 2012). By integrating demographic transition theory and cohort analysis, they would help in: 1) analysing cohort differences in demographic transitions; 2) understanding the impact of demographic transitions on cohort outcomes; and 3) identifying policy implications of cohort-specific demographic transitions. For instance, this theory can be applied to develop and evaluate policies that address the unique educational challenges and opportunities faced by different cohorts during demographic transition. By and large, the demographic transition theory helps in understanding how a country's population changes as it develops, and how this affects social, economic, and environmental factors.

b. Human Capital Theory (HCT): Gary Becker's (1962) work on "Investment in Human Capital: A Theoretical Analysis" laid the foundation for human capital theory, which posits that education is an investment in individuals that enhances productivity and economic growth. Cohort analysis aligns with this theory by tracking the educational attainment and subsequent contributions of specific cohorts to economic development. By integrating human capital theory and cohort analysis, educators and policymakers can gain valuable insights into the effectiveness of educational programmes and policies, ultimately informing decisions that promote better educational outcomes and economic growth.

c. Life Course Theory (LCT): This theory was developed by several sociologists and demographers' overtime. While it is difficult to attribute its discovery to a single person, some key figures such as Elder (1974); Hareven (1978); and Mayer (1986) contributed significantly to its development. By integrating life course theory and cohort analysis, it would help in: 1) understanding how different cohorts experience life, events, transitions, and outcomes, taking into consideration historical and social context; b) understanding life course trajectories (highlighting patterns, trends, and variations within and across

cohorts); and c) examining the impact of historical events (such as economic downturns or social investments affecting life courses and outcomes for different cohorts (Mayer, 2009).

d. Social Reproduction Theory (SRT): This theory has its roots in the works of several sociologists and theorists such as Willis (1977), Bourdieu (1977), and Lareau (2003). Karl Marx's concepts of class struggles and ideological hegemony laid the groundwork for understanding social reproduction (Jules, 2020). By integrating the social reproduction theory and cohort analysis, it would help in: 1) analysing cohort differences in social production (where different cohort experience social reproduction, taking into account changes in social policies, economic conditions, and cultural norms); 2) understanding the role of institutions (education and family) in shaping cohort experiences; and 3) examining the impact of social change on cohort outcomes.

e. Educational Planning Theories: Two major theories (Forecasting and Resource Optimization Theories) are examined. Forecasting theory is an approach that uses historical data and demographic projections to predict future needs (Psacharopoulos & Woodhall, 1985). Resource Optimization Theory on the other hand emphasises efficient allocation of resources, linking demographic trends to infrastructure, teacher deployment, and finding needs (Ogundu, 2022). First, forecasting theory gives insights to educational planners. For instance, it can be forecast that Nigeria's population is projected to exceed 400 million by 2050, creating immense pressure on the education system. Therefore, forecasting theory would aid in predicting enrolment trends and planning for adequate infrastructure, teachers, and learning materials. It supports the development of long-term strategies, such as expanding access to technical and vocational education. Secondly, Resource Optimization Theory gives an insight that Nigeria faces funding challenges in education, with public spending below the recommended 20% of the national budget (UNESCO, 2020). The combination of educational planning theories and cohort analysis provides a powerful framework for understanding how educational systems can be planned and managed to meet the needs of diverse student population. By and large, integrating educational planning theories and cohort analysis would help in: 1) analysing cohort differences in educational outcomes; 2) understanding the impact of educational planning on cohort outcomes; c) identifying effective educational planning strategies for diverse cohorts. For instance, investigating: 1) cohort analysis of students' progression and completion rates; 2) educational planning and cohort differences in academic achievement; and 3) integrating cohort analysis into educational planning for diverse student population (Ryder, 1965; Psacharopoulos, 1987; Asuquo, 2021). According to United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation Institute for Educational

Planning (IIEP), Journal Storage (JSTOR) and Web of Science, the key contributors to educational planning theories were Philip H. Coombs (1915-2006), Williams W. Cooley (1923-2014), George Z.F. Bereday (1917-1983), Joseph Lauwerys (1902-1991, and Nicholas Dewitt(1917-1995).

f. The Resource Optimisation Theory: According to Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Entries on Linear Programming, Resource Allocation and Optimization), Journal Storage (JSTOR), and Web of Science, resource optimisation theory was propounded by several economists and management scientists, including Wassily Leontief (1906-1999), George Dantzig (1914-2005), Leonid Kantorovich (1912-1986), Tjalling Koopmans (1910-1985). This theory involves using mathematical models and algorithms to optimise the allocation of resources, such as labour, materials, and capital, to achieve a specific goal or objective. The applications of this theory are in the fields of Operation Research (OR), Economics, Management Science and Computer Science. By integrating resource optimisation theory and cohort analysis provides a powerful framework for optimising resource allocation and management in various fields, including education, healthcare, and business. It helps in: 1) optimising resource allocation for different cohorts; 2) cohort-based resource management, and 3) resource optimisation for cohort-specific programmes (that is, optimising resource allocation for programmes and services tailored to specific cohorts (Asuquo, 2021).

The theories underpinning the cohort analysis model provide a valuable lens for addressing Nigeria's educational challenges. By incorporating demographic insights, addressing inequalities, and optimising resources, these frameworks enable evidence-based planning and policy making. Their application promises that Nigeria's education system can meet the needs of its growing population while fostering equity, inclusivity, and sustainable development.

4. Benefits of Cohort Analysis for Education in Nigeria

The potential benefits of cohort analysis in Nigeria include:

I. Data-Driven Decision Making: By analysing the performance of specific cohorts over time, educational planners can make informed decisions about resource allocation, curriculum design, and support structures (Harbison & Myers, 1964).

II. Targeted Resource Allocation: Rather than applying broad, one-size-fits-all solutions, cohort analysis ensures that resources and interventions are customised for different groups based on their unique needs (Ogunyemi & Ojo, 2017).

III. Identification of Inequalities: It helps in pinpointing areas of inequality (such as disparities

between urban and rural education or gender gaps) and allows for focused intervention strategies (Aluede & Adeleke, 2019).

IV. Focus on equity and inclusion: The analysis supports targeted interventions for marginalised groups, contributing to more equitable education systems. For example, in Nigeria, cohort analysis can reveal regional disparities in literacy rates, enabling policymakers to allocate resources to underserved areas (Bourdieu, 1986).

V. Integration of multiple variables: Cohort analysis helps identify complex relationships between factors influencing educational outcomes. For example, analysis on how household income and rural/urban location affect the transition rates from secondary to tertiary education.

VI. Projection of future trends: The model ensures proactive planning, enabling education systems to prepare for anticipated challenges. For instance, projecting teacher demand based on cohort progression helps address shortages before they occur.

VII. Enhancement of accountability: Cohort analysis allows for the measurement of performance indicators, such as completion rates and quality improvements. For example, Cohort analysis can be useful in evaluating the success of school feeding programmes in improving retention rates among low-income students.

VIII. Monitoring Progress towards SDG 4: Regular cohort analysis helps in tracking progress towards meeting SDG 4 targets, ensuring that improvements are made in access to quality education (UNESCO, 2020).

5. Challenges in the Implementation of Cohort Analysis in Nigeria

The implementation of the cohort analysis model of Nigeria faces several challenges due to socio-economic, infrastructural, and institutional limitations. These challenges hinder the effective collection, interpretation, and application of cohort data in educational planning. The major challenges include lack of data quality and availability, insufficient financial resources, technical and human resource constraints, political and institutional challenges, resistance to change, and impact of external factors.

6. Conclusion

The cohort analysis model provides a valuable framework for educational planning by enabling policy makers to monitor trends, forecast future needs, and evaluate the long-term impact of policies. It is particularly relevant in Nigeria, where dynamic demographic shifts, regional disparities, and resource constraints pose significant challenges to the education system. Through its focus on longitudinal tracking and data-driven decision-making, the model supports

equitable and sustainable education reforms.

However, effective implementation in Nigeria faces several obstacles, including data quality issues, inadequate funding, technical constraints, and socio-political challenges. Addressing these barriers requires comprehensive reforms, including investments in data infrastructure, capacity building, stakeholder engagement, and the integration of advanced technologies. By adopting the cohort analysis model alongside targeted interventions, Nigeria can enhance the efficiency and equity of its education system, ensuring that it meets the needs of both current and future generations. This approach is critical for fostering human capital development and achieving the national and global education goals, such as those outlined in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Through sustained efforts and strategic planning, the cohort analysis model can become a transformative tool for addressing Nigeria's educational challenges, creating a more inclusive and resilient education system that supports social and economic progress.

7. Implementation Strategies/Recommendations

To address the challenges associated with implementing the cohort analysis model in Nigeria, the following implementation strategies/recommendations can help ensure its effective application in educational planning:

I. Establish a National Education Database: The Nigerian government should invest in a robust data collection system that tracks students, schools, teachers, and other key educational variables over time.

II. Engage Stakeholders: Collaboration with local governments, schools, teachers, and parents is essential for successful implementation. Their insights and buy-in are crucial for ensuring data accuracy and relevant interventions.

III. Regular Reporting and Feedback: Periodic reports based on cohort analysis should be shared with key stakeholders, including policymakers, educators, and international partners. Feedback loops should allow for continuous adjustment and improvement

IV. Focus on Teacher Training and Support: Teacher quality is crucial for improving educational outcomes. Investment in teacher training, particularly in underserved areas, can have a significant impact on effectiveness of educational interventions

IV. Community Engagement: Engaging communities to improve school attendance, especially in rural areas, and addressing cultural barriers to education, particularly for girls, is key to fostering inclusive education.

References

- Aluede, O., & Adeleke, D. (2019). Addressing educational challenges in Nigeria: A focus on gender and regional disparities. *Educational Studies, 45*(1), 99-144.
- Asuquo, M. (2021). *Models and theories of educational planning*. ResearchGates
- Black, P., & William, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education, 5*(1), 7-74
- Bogue, D.J. (1969). *Principles of demography*. John Wiley & Sons
- Bourdieu, P. (1977). *Outline of a theory of practice*. Cambridge University Press
- Checkoway, H., Pearce, N., & Kriebel, D. (2004). *Research methods in occupational epidemiology*. Oxford University Press
- Competency-Based Education Network. (2019). *Competency-based education: A framework for measuring student learning*
- Elder, G.H. (1974). *Children of the great depression: Social change in life's experience*. University of Chicago Press
- Harbison, R.W. & Myers, C.A. (1964). *Education and development: A cross national study*. McGraw-Hill
- Hareven, T.K. (1978). *Transitions: The family and the life course in historical perspective*. Academic Press
- Jules, T.D. (2020). *Social reproduction theory and cohort analysis in education*. The Palgrave Handbook of Education Research. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-43299-7_23-1
- Lareau, A. (2003). *Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life*. University of California Press
- Mayer, K.U. (2009). New directions in life course research. *Annual Review of Sociology, 35*, 413-433
- Menaghan, E.G., & Parcel, T.L. (1991). Determining children's home environments: The impact of maternal characteristics and current occupational and family conditions. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 53*(2), 417-431
- National Centre for Education Statistics. (2019). The nation's report card: 2019.
- Ogundu, J.W. (2022). Educational resource utilization: Implication for educational leader. *International Journal of Institutional Leadership, Policy and Management, 4*(2), 330-347
- Ogunniyi, A., & Alabi, S. (2014). Improving educational planning in developing countries: A cohort analysis approach. *International Journal of Educational Development, 44*, 35-47
- Ogunyemi, B., & Ojo, A. (2017). A cohort analysis approach to understanding educational inequalities in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Planning and Administration, 31*(2), 115-128
- Psacharopoulos, G. & Woodhall, M. (1985). *Education for development: Analysis of investment*

- choices*. Oxford University Press
- Rose, C.P., & McAlister, S. (2016). Personalized learning pathways: A framework for education progress. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 8(1), 1-24
- United Nations. (2019). *World population prospects 2019*. Department of Economics and Social Affairs.
- United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). (2022). *The state of the world's children 2022: Children in a digital world*. UNICEF
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO). (2020). *Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives*. UNESCO.
<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000261806>
- UNESCO. (2022). Global education monitoring report: Education for sustainable development. <https://www.unesco.org>.
- Weeks, J.R. (2012). *Population: An introduction to concepts and issues*. Cengage Learning
- World Bank (2018). *Learning to realize education's promise*. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/learning-to-realize-educations-promise>
- World Bank. (2021). *Education in Nigeria: Data insights*. <https://www.worldbank.org>.